ORIGINAL ARTICLE $(CC BY-SA) \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$



UDC: 544.351.3:546.21]:616.136-007.64-089 https://doi.org/10.2298/VSP180621131S

Correlation between central venous and mixed venous oxygen saturation in the elective abdominal aortic aneurysm surgery

Korelacija između saturacije kiseonikom centralne i mešane venske krvi u elektivnoj hirurgiji aneurizme abdominalne aorte

Ljiljana Šoškić*, Mladen Kočica*, Dragan Cvetković*, Biljana Miličić[†], Nebojša Ladjević[‡], Ivan Palibrk[§], Milica Karadžić*, Miloš Grujić*, Milica Vještica-Mrdak[∥], Arsen Ristić[¶]

Clinical Center of Serbia, Clinic for Cardiac Surgery, *Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Clinic for Urology, [‡]Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Clinic for Abdominal Surgery, [§]Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Clinic for Vascular Surgery, [∥]Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, [¶]Clinic for Cardiology, Belgrade, Serbia; University of Belgrade, Faculty of Dentistry, [†]Department of Medical Statistics and Informatics, Belgrade, Serbia

Abstract

Background/Aim. The concept of utilizing central venous oxygen saturation (ScvO₂) to calculate cardiac index (CI) remains controversial and neither precise nor generally applicable conclusion has been reached yet. We evaluated the relationship between ScvO2 and mixed venous oxygen saturation (SvO₂) in elective surgery of the abdominal aorta. The adequacy of their interchangeability was tested by comparing cardiac indices (CI) calculated by two methods in patients that underwent major vascular surgery. The aim of this study was to test the correlation between ScvO2 and SvO2 in different time frames, in patients undergoing elective abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) surgery as well as to determine if the use of ScvO₂ for calculating CI by the modified Fick equation, could be feasible and accurate surrogate for the values obtained by pulmonary artery catheter (PAC). Methods. This prospective observational study included 125 consecutive patients that underwent elective AAA surgery. The ScvO₂ and SvO₂ data, as well as CI values, were obtained

Apstrakt

Uvod/Cilj. Koncept korišćenja saturacije kiseonikom centralne venske krvi (ScvO₂), umesto saturacije mešane venske krvi (SvO₂), za izračunavanje srčanog indeksa (CI), ostaje kontroverzan s obzirom na to da još uvek nema pouzdanih podataka koji bi ukazivali da jedna saturacija može biti adekvatna zamena drugoj. Odnos između ova dva parametra testirali smo upoređivanjem vrednosti CI izračunatih na dva načina, kod elektivno operisanih bolesnika zbog aneurizme abdominalne aorte (AAA). Cilj rada bio je testiranje korelaand compared from samples taken in three different time frames: immediately after induction of general anesthesia (T_0) , immediately after admission in the intensive care unit (ICU; T_1), and 8 h after admission in the ICU (T_2). The Fick equation, used for CI estimation from ScvO₂ (CI-F), for the purpose of this study, was simplified according to Walley. **Results.** There was good linear correlation between ScvO₂ and SvO₂ in all time frames and linear regression study revealed strongest coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.661$) in T₂ time-frame. There was no correlation between CI-F (i.e. CI calculated from ScvO₂ by modified Fick equation) and CI (measured by PAC from SvO₂) in any time-frame. Conclusion. The results of our study confirm that $ScvO_2$ is a reliable substitute for SvO₂ among patients undergoing elective surgery of the AAA. However, ScvO₂ cannot be used as a surrogate to true SvO₂ in the calculation of CI.

Key words: aorta, abdominal; aortic aneurysm; monitoring, physiologic; oxygen; oximetry.

cije između ScvO₂ i SvO₂ u različitim vremenima merenja kod bolesnika podvrgnutih elektivnim operacijama AAA, kao i utvrđivanje mogućnosti korišćenja ScvO₂ za izračunavanje CI, modifikovanom Fick-ovom jednačinom, kao adekvatne zamene vrednostima CI dobijenih merenjem putem plućnog arterijskog katetera (PAC). **Metode.** Prospektivnom opservacionom studijom bilo je obuhvaćeno 125 konsekutivnih bolesnika podvrgnutih elektivnim operacijama AAA. Podaci o ScvO₂ i SvO₂, kao i vrednosti CI dobijeni su uzimanjem uzoraka krvi i merenjem u tri različita vremena: posle uvoda u opštu anesteziju (T0), odmah posle prijema u

Correspondence to: Mladen Kočica, Clinical Center of Serbia, Clinic for Cardiac Surgery, Kosta Todorović St. 8, 11 000 Belgrade, Serbia. E-mail: kocica@sbb.rs

jedinicu intenzivnog lečenja (JIL) (T1), i osam sati posle dolaska u JIL (T2). Za izračunavanje CI upotrebljena je pojednostavljena Fick-ova jednačina po Walley-u, u kojoj smo koristili ScvO₂ (CI-F). **Rezultati**. Nađena je dobra linearna korelacija između vrednosti ScvO₂ i SvO₂ u svim vremenima merenja, a linearna regresiona studija pokazala je najjači koeficijent determinacije (R2 = 0.661) u T2 vremenskom okviru. Nije bilo korelacije između CI-F (CI izračunat iz ScvO2 modifikovanom Fick-ovom jednačinom) i CI (me-

Introduction

Measurement of mixed venous oxygen saturation (SvO_2) is useful indirect index of the entire body tissue oxygenation ¹. However, risk/benefit of the pulmonary artery catheter (PAC) placement remains controversial, and thus, its use has became somewhat unpopular ^{2, 3}. Routine use of the PAC in critically ill patients does not influence mortality and is associated with higher costs and complication rates ^{4, 5}. Insertion of a central venous catheter (CVC) in the superior vena cava (SVC), via the right internal jugular or subclavian vein, on the other side, remains standard of care in critically ill patients ⁶. Monitoring of central venous oxygen saturation (ScvO₂) may be, therefore, the safer alternative to SvO₂.

Despite recent renewed interest in clinical applicability of serial ScvO₂ measurements, there are no published data in the available literature describing the pattern of ScvO₂ changes during major vascular surgery or possible relationships with outcome ^{7,8}.

The aim of this study was to test the correlation between $ScvO_2$ and SvO_2 in different time frames, in patients undergoing elective abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) surgery. Additionally, we wanted to determine if calculating cardiac index (CI) using $ScvO_2$, by the modified Fick equation, could be feasible and accurate surrogate for the values obtained by PAC.

Methods

This prospective observational study included 125 consecutive patients, scheduled for the elective AAA surgery, between July 2015 and April 2016, at the Clinic for Vascular and Endovascular Surgery, the Clinical Center of Serbia in Belgrade.

Patients with aortoiliac occlusive disease (Leriche's syndrome), cardiac or dialysis access shunt (fistula or graft) and emergent cases (ruptured AAA) were excluded from the study.

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Clinical Center of Serbia. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before enrollment.

All operations were performed with combined (peridural and general endotracheal) anesthesia. Patients were premedicated with 5 mg im. midazolam (Dormicum[®], Roche) 45 min prior to anesthesia. Peridural catheter (Perifix, B. Braun Melsungen AG) was inserted under local anesthesia at Th_{10} – L_1 , or L_1 – L_2 , or L_2 – L_3 levels, with a patient in left recumbent position. Induction proceeded with 0.2 mg/kg ren PAC-om) u bilo kom vremenskom okviru. **Zaključak.** Rezultati studije potvrđuju da ScvO_2 može biti pouzdana zamena za SvO_2 kod bolesnika podvrgnutih elektivnim operacijama AAA. Međutim, ScvO_2 se ne može koristiti kao surogat za pravu SvO_2 u izračunavanju CI.

Ključne reči:

aorta, abdominalna; aorta, aneurizma; fiziološke funkcije, praćenje; kiseonik; oksimetrija.

midazolam and 0.6 mg/kg rocuronium bromide (Esmeron[®], Merck Sharp & Dohme). Patients were connected to an anesthesia apparatus (Primus, Dräger) and anesthesia was maintained with gas mixture O_2/N_2O (Fi $O_2 = 0.5$) and sevoflurane (Sevorane[®], AbbVie) in concentration of 0.8–1.5 vol%, along with rocuronium bromide in a total dose of 100 mg. For analgesia, 6–8 mL of 0.5% levobupivacain was given every 1.5 h–2 h via the peridural catheter. Operations were completed without any use of iv. analgsics.

Median laparotomy and transperitoneal approach to the abdominal aorta (AA) and classical inguinal approach to the femoral arteries were utilized. Abdominal aortic cross clamping was done below or above the origin of renal arteries, and occasionally above the origin of *truncus coeliacus*. Reconstruction of AA included interposition of either tubular Ao graft interposition (GI) or "Y" Dacron graft (Ao-biilliac – Aii, Ao-bifemoral – AFF).

Postoperative analgesia was maintained with a bolus dose of 6–8 mL of 0.25%, levobupivacain, every 8 h, via the peridural catheter. Lungs were mechanically ventilated (Evita, Dräger).

Invasive monitoring included radial artery cannulation (Becton Dickinson off-on), for the measurement of systemic blood pressure and serial blood sampling for gas analyses (Radiometar ABL 90 flex).

Insertion of the CVC (Arrow) was performed via the right internal jugular or subclavian vein and position of its tip in SVC, for ScvO₂ measurements, subsequently verified by chest radiograph. In addition, PAC (Swan-Ganz catheter, Arrow, 7F) was also inserted for SvO₂, CO (cardiac output), and CI measurements. Thermodilution CO and CI were obtained in triplicate and averaged. Samples from CVC and PAC were taken simultaneously in following time-frames: immediately after induction of general anesthesia (T_0), immediately after admission in the ICU (T_1), and 8 h after admission in the ICU (T_2).

The Fick equation, used for CI estimation from $ScvO_2$ (CI-F), for the purpose of this study, was simplified according to Walley 10

$$CI \approx 100/Hgb \times 1/(SaO_2-SvO_2)$$

where: CI as previously explained (L/min/m²); Hgb = hemoglobin (g/L); SaO₂ = arterial oxygen saturation (%) and ScvO₂ (%).

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software v.23.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive data for all groups and variables were expressed as mean \pm stan-

dard deviation (SD) for continuous measures, or percent of a group for discrete measures.

A normal distribution was tested using the Koglomorov-Smirnov test. If the data were normally distributed, RM-ANOVA was used. Nonparametric data were analyzed using Fridman test. *Post hoc* analysis was performed using Bonferroni test (parametric data) and Wilcoxon test (nonparametric data).

Correlation of the CVC and PAC parameters was tested with Pearson's (parametric data) and Spearman's correlation coefficients (nonparametric data).

All reported p values were two-sided; differences were considered significant when p value was < 0.05.

Results

Preoperative and intraoperative patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. It is noteworthy emphasizing that majority of patients were in the seventh decade of life, with significant male predominance. Almost 95% were hypertensive and more than a half had some form of coronary artery disease. Intraabdominal reconstruction (ie. GI and Aii) with infrarenal clamp was possible in more than 90% cases.

Values of observed parameters (ScvO₂, SvO₂, CI, CI–F), obtained in three different time frames, are summarized in Table 2. Significant changes were registered for all of them, but intergroup significance was present only for ScvO₂ and SvO₂.



Fig. 1 – Correlation between central venous oxygen saturation (ScvO₂) and mixed venous oxygen saturation (SvO₂) in different time frames: A) immediately after induction of general anesthesia (T0); B) immediately after admission in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU); C) 8 h after admission in the ICU (T2).

Šoškić Lj, et al. Vojnosanit Pregl 2020; 77(7): 697–703.

Table 1

Demographic and clinical characteristic of patients (n = 125)

Characteristics	Values	
Gender, n (%)		
male	108 (86.4)	
female	17 (13.6)	
Age (years), mean \pm SD (Med; min-max)	66.39 ± 6.49 (66.0; 49–86)	
BMI (kg/m ²),(mean \pm SD (Med; min-max)	26.36 ± 3.85 (26.10; 14.70–36.50)	
BSA (m^2) , mean \pm SD (Med; min-max)	2.00 ± 0.21 (2.03; 1.28–2.51)	
Comorbidities, n (%)		
hypertension	118 (94.4)	
DM	16 (12.8)	
COPD	29 (23.2)	
carotid surgery	14 (11.4)	
CVI	17 (13.6)	
CRF	14 (11.2)	
CABG	11 (8.8)	
valvular surgery	2 (1.6)	
AP	46 (36.8)	
PCI	14 (11.3)	
Ao reconstruction, n (%)		
Ao-II	51 (40.8)	
Ao-FF	10 (8.0)	
Ao GI	64 (51.2)	
Infrarenal cross clamp, n (%)	114 (91.2)	
Proximal clamp time (min), mean \pm SD (Med; min-max)	21.94 ± 8.09 (21.0; 9–53)	
Total clamp time (min), mean \pm SD (Med: min-max)	49.73 ± 20.21 (45.0: 17–118)	

BMI – body mass index; BSA – body surface area; DM – diabetes mellitus; COPD – chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CVI – cerebro-vascular insult; CRF – chronic renal failure; CABG – coronary artery bypass grafting; AP – angina pectoris; PCI – percutaneous coronary intervention; Ao-II – aortobiiliac bypass; Ao-FF – aortobifemoral bypass; Ao-GI – abdominal aortic graft interposition; Med – median.

Table 2

Analysis of selected parameters measured by central venous catheter (CVC) and pulmonary artery cateter (PAC) in different time frames

Parameters	Values	n-value ^{a.b}	Intergroup comparison ^{c.d}
	v alues	<i>p</i> -value	intergroup comparison
$ScvO_2$ (%), mean \pm SD			6 lo 000*
(Med; min-max)	$/3./9 \pm 10.12 (/4.5; 45-94)$	^a 0 000*	***0.000*
TO	66.82 ± 12.24 (68; 37–92)	0.000	^{c.2} 0.000*
– T2	63.94 ± 10.35 (64; 34–87)		^{c.3} 0.044*
SvO_2 (%), mean \pm SD			
(Med; min-max)			
TO	75.31 ± 8.76 (77; 44–91)	^a 0.000*	^{c.1} 0.000*
T1	69.52 ± 9.59 (70;40–94)		^{c.2} 0.000*
Τ2	66.33 ± 9.30 (66; 45–86)		^{c.3} 0.000*
CI, mean \pm SD			
(Med; min-max)			
TO	3.31 ±1.09 (3.01; 1.50–7.0)	^b 0.000*	^{d.1} 0.097
T1	3.34 ± 0.97 (3.20; 1.70–6.8)		^{d.2} 0.001*
T2	3.62 ± 0.79 (3.60; 1.30–5.90)		^{d.3} 0.000*
CI-F, mean \pm SD			
(Med; min-max)			
TO	3.03 ± 1.05 (2.81; 1.27–5.93)	^b 0.024*	^{d.1} 0.118
T1	2.83 ± 1.02 (2.62; 1.21–6.12)		^{d.2} 0.001*
Τ2	2.64 ± 0.88 (2.49; 1.3–5.45)		^{d.3} 0.041*

 $ScvO_2$ – central venous oxygen saturation; SvO_2 – mixed venous oxygen saturation; CI – cardiac index; CI-F – $ScvO_2$ for calculating CI, by modified Fick equation; T0 – immediately after induction of general anesthesia; T1 – immediately after admission in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU); T2 – 8 h after admission in the ICU; Med – median. *statistical significance; ^aRM ANOVA; ^bFridman-s test; ^cBonferroni test; ^dWilcoxon-s test (¹p = To and T1 comparison;

*statistical significance; "RM ANOVA; "Fridman-s test; "Bonferroni test; "Wilcoxon-s test ("p = To and T1 comparison; "p = To and T2 comparison; "p = T1 and T2 comparison).

Page 701

Correlation between $ScvO_2$ and SvO_2 in different time frames is shown in Table 3. Since we established statistically significant correlation between observed parameters, a linear regression study was performed (Figure 1) and the strongest coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.661$) was found in T2 time-frame (Table 3, Figure 1C). These results confirmed that $ScvO_2$ could be reliable surrogate for SvO_2 , particularly 8 h after admission in the ICU.

Table 3

Correlation of central venous catheter (CVC) and pulmonary artery catheter (PAC) parameters: ScvO₂ and SvO₂

Time-frame	Linear correlation	R ²	<i>p</i> -values
T1	0.779	0.606	0.000*
T2	0.702	0.493	0.000*
Т3	0.814	0.661	0.000*

 $ScvO_2$ – central venous oxygen saturation; SvO_2 – mixed venous oxygen saturation; T0 – immediately after induction of general anesthesia; T1 – immediately after admission in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU); T2 – 8 h after admission in the ICU.

*statistically significant.

Unlike expected, there was no correlation between CI-F (i.e. CI calculated from $ScvO_2$ by the modified Fick equation) and CI (measured by PAC from SvO_2) in any time-frame (Table 4).

Table 4

Correlation of central venous catheter (CVC) and pulmonary artery catheter (PAC) parameters: CI and CI-F

Time-frame	coefficient (p)	<i>p</i> -values
Т0	0.085	0.346
T1	0.148	0.100
T2	0.069	0.444

CI – cardiac index; CI-F – CI, calculated by modified Fick equation.

Discussion

Interchangeability of ScvO_2 and SvO_2 values has been a matter of debate, primarily because of different sampling points and venous blood pools they represent (ie. entire body for SvO_2 and upper part of the body for ScvO_2)⁹.

Complex relationship of these two parameters is different in healthy and diseased persons. Thus, $ScvO_2$ is slightly lower than SvO_2 in healthy individuals (76% vs. 78%, respectively), but in persons with cardiovascular instability, this relationship changes ¹⁰.

The most valuable information is trend of either $ScvO_2$ or SvO_2 changes upon applied treatment. Renewed interest in $ScvO_2$ monitoring came from the fact that lots of complications related to PAC insertion have been documented in the literature ¹¹. Intravascular pressure could not provide an adequate insight in the intravascular volume, which is, in

Šoškić Lj, et al. Vojnosanit Pregl 2020; 77(7): 697–703.

turn, the only cardiac preload equivalent ¹². Sandham et al. ² found no correlation between PAC guided therapy and outcome in non-cardiac surgical patients.

Scheinman et al. ¹¹ compared ScvO₂ and SvO₂ levels in different hemodynamic states. They found no significant difference in stabile patients and patients with heart failure (54.7% vs. 56.9%, p > 0.1; and 61.8% vs. 58.2%, p > 0.1, respectively). In patients with circulatory shock, this difference was significant (58.0% vs. 47.5%, p < 0.001), due to poor left ventricular function and renal impairment ^{12, 13}.

The degree of correlation between $ScvO_2$ and SvO_2 was tested by numerous studies, regardless of patient's hemodynamic status. By doing so, it was unable to find the reasons for poor correlation observed.

This main shortcoming comes from the fact that CO distribution changes in critically ill patients, thus affecting SevO_2 and SvO_2 relationships ^{14–17}. Unlike previous, studies performed under experimentally controlled conditions found good correlation between SevO_2 and SvO_2 , regardless of their absolute values ^{18, 19}. Also, some studies emphasized the importance of similarity of trends between two parameters, while others deny the reliability of SevO_2^{20-22} .

If we keep on mind that ScvO_2 depends on: hemoglobin levels, SaO_2 , CO, oxygen consumption (VO₂), body temperature, analgesic level and metabolic state, keeping all, except selected one constant, than ScvO_2 value reflects the changes of the remaining. The relationship between ScvO_2 and SvO_2 is not simple. In healthy persons, absolute values of these parameters are similar, which is not necessarily true in critically ill patients. Absolute values of ScvO_2 may be pathological even when it is high or low ²³.

Attempts to calculate CI from ScvO₂ is not a new concept ²⁴. In experimental studies, with dogs in different cardiorespiratory conditions, Reinhart et al. ²⁰ found a good correlation (r = 0.97) between CI calculated using two different methods. Goldman et al. ²⁴ 1968, performed similar study in human subjects. Since then, a lot of studies on human subjects in different medical conditions were designed to correlate ScvO₂ and SvO₂^{25,26}.

During hypovolemic circulatory disturbances, CI and $ScvO_2$ showed better correlation with the extent of blood loss, than central venous pressure (CVP), pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP), arterial pressure and heart rate. Interestingly, in spite of different absolute values, the trends of $ScvO_2$ and SvO_2 changes paralleled observed hemodynamic changes. Orthostatic hypotension is commonly used, as a model of the cardiovascular disturbances associated with hypovolemia in humans ^{25, 27}. Median $ScvO_2$ fell from 75% to 60%, paralleling CO decrease from 4.3 to 2.7 L/min, at the onset of presyncope symptoms. However, unlike in experiments, in series of major trauma victims, there was no strong correlation of $ScvO_2$ and SvO_2 with the extent of blood loss ^{27, 28}.

In septic patients, different trials could not find firm correlation between absolute values of ScvO_2 and $\text{SvO}_2^{29,30}$, probably due to modified blood flow distribution and oxygen extraction (O₂ ER) by brain and splanchnic tissues ^{30,31}. In spite of this, variations in these two parameters usually occured in a parallel manner ^{29,32}.

Maybe the most extensively studied were the patterns of SvO₂ and ScvO₂ changes in cardiac failure and myocardial infarction. Goldman et al.²⁴ correlated derangements of ScvO₂ with severity of myocardial dysfunction and subsequent response to treatment, finding that levels below 45% usually indicate the onset of cardiogenic shock. While decrease of ScvO₂ levels depicts the severity of disease¹¹ trends are associated with CO and response to treatment $^{33-35}$.

There are few papers describing SvO2 monitoring during the aortic surgery ^{36, 37}. Application and removal of aortic and femoral clamps produces complex SvO₂ changes. Clamp removal and lower body reperfusion produce significant SvO₂ decrease, not necessarily reflecting a need to change cardiovascular management. However, there are very few or no data, regarding ScvO₂ monitoring during the abdominal aortic surgery.

Kopterides et al. 37 investigated the significance of CVC tip position. When positioned 15 cm away from the inlet of the right atrium, ScvO₂ overestimated SvO₂ by 8%. However, when the tip of the CVC was advanced deeper in the right atrium, ScvO₂ becomes an excellent surrogate, overestimating SvO_2 by only 1%.

Our study enrolled patients without pulmonary artery and superior vena cava (SVC) catheterization under fluoroscopic guidance. So, both measurements, neither ScvO₂ nor SvO₂, were obtained under direct visualization of the catheter tips. Our subsequent analyses of the central line tip positions, in the ICU, showed that most of them were located in SVC or proximal right atrium (RA) or SVC-RA junction. This implies that blood samples were actually obtained from different places. We used the X-ray confirmation of the CVC tip position in the ICU, to exclude the patients in whom CVC was accidentally placed in the innominate vein. Thus, we intended to test the correlation between ScvO₂ and SvO₂ within more limited variations of ScvO2 values. It should be emphasized that it was our intention to adapt on "real-life" situation, without changing established perioperative protocols for the purposes of this study. On the other hand, PAC parameters (SvO₂ and thermodilution CI) were obtained in triplicate and then averaged. Although our results confirmed statistically significant linear correlation between ScvO₂ and SvO₂, almost paradoxically, the same was not true with CI-F

REFERENCES

- 1. Tánczos K, Molnár Z. The oxygen supply-demand balance: a monitoring challenge. Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol 2013; 27(2): 201-7.
- 2. Sandham JD, Hull RD, Brant RF, Knox L, Pineo GF, Doig CJ, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of the use of pulmonary artery catheters in high-risk surgical patients. N Engl J Med 2003; 348(1): 5-14.
- Connors AF Jr, Speroff T, Dawson NV, Thomas C, Harrell FE Jr, Wagner D, et al. The effectiveness of right heart catheterization in the initial care of critically ill patients. JAMA 1996; 276(11): 889-97.
- 4. Ivanov R, Allen J, Calvin JE. The incidence of major morbidity in critically ill patients managed with pulmonary artery catheters: a meta analysis. Crit Care Med 2000; 28(3): 615-9.

and CI. The most logical explanation is that, in fact, we used "different mathematics". Walley's simplification of the Fick formula, using ScvO₂ values to calculate CI-F, could not meet correlation criteria with thermodilution CI values obtained by PAC, using SvO₂. The ability of ScvO₂ measurement to estimate SvO₂ is useful but still imperfect, depending on CVC catheter placement, patient anatomy and physiologic state. Importantly, ScvO₂ is an increasingly less reliable substitute for SvO₂ as the cardiac performance is worsened. This should always be kept in mind when interpreting ScvO₂ measurements. When true SvO₂ is essential, PAC placement remains the gold standard, since it provides more data than just a calculation of CI and many patients may still benefit from it. In that sense, significant linear correlation between $ScvO_2$ and SvO_2 in our study could be seen as a result of standardized and reliable team work, resulting in absence of significant perioperative hemodynamic disturbances and mayor blood loss, allowing early detubation (within two hours postoperatively) and stabile spontaneous breathing in all patients.

Limitations of the study

This study has some limitations which have to be pointed out.

Accuracy of ScvO₂ measurement depends on CVC catheter placement, patient anatomy and physiologic state. Positioning of PAC and measurements was not always done by the same physician.

Conclusion

The results of our study confirm that $ScvO_2$ is a reliable substitute for SvO₂ among patients undergoing elective surgery of the abdominal aorta. It seems, when applied appropriately, that measurements of either ScvO2 or SvO2 may provide a valuable guide to circulatory management in the early postoperative period. However, this is not always true. In our study ScvO₂ cannot be used as a surrogate to true SvO2 in the calculation of CI. Further studies are needed to confirm our findings. In practice, ScvO₂ seems especially useful in combination with vital signs and other relevant parameters.

- Rajaram SS, Desai NK, Kalra A, Gajera M, Cavanaugh SK, Bramp-5. ton W, et al. Pulmonary artery catheters for adult patients in intensive care. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013; (2): CD003408.
- Reich DL, Mittnacht AJ, London MJ, Kaplan JA. Monitoring of 6. the Heart and Vascular System. In: Kaplan JA, Reich DL, Savino JA, editors. Kaplan's Cardiac Anesthesia: The Echo Era. 6th ed. St Louis (MO): Elsevier Saunders; 2011. p. 416-51.
- 7. Davison JK. Anesthesia for major vascular procedures in the elderly. Clin Anesth 1986; 4: 931.
- Nowood SH, Nelson LD. Continuous monitoring of mixed ve-8. nous oxygen saturation during aortofemoral bypass grafting. Am Surg 1986; 52(2): 114–5.

- Edwards JD, Mayall RM. Importance of the sampling site for measurement of mixed oxygen saturation in shock. Crit Care Med 1998; 26(8): 1356–60.
- Walley KR. Use of central venous oxygen saturation to guide therapy. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2011; 184(5): 514–20.
- Scheinman MM, Brown MA, Rapaport E. Critical assessment of use of central venous oxygen saturation as a mirror of mixed venous oxygen in severely ill cardiac patients. Circulation 1969; 40(2): 165–72.
- Forsyth RP, Hoffbrand BI, Melmon KL. Redistribution of cardiac output during hemorrhage in the unanesthetized monkey. Circ Res 1970; 27(3): 311–20.
- Glamann DB, Lange R.A, Hillis LD. Incidence and significance of a "step-down" in oxygen saturation from superior vena cava to pulmonary artery. Am J Cardiol 1991; 68(6): 695–97.
- Osman D, Ridel C, Ray P, Monnet X, Anguel N, Richard C, et al. Cardiac filling pressures are not appropriate to predict hemodynamic response to volume challenge. Crit Care Med 2007; 35(1): 64–8.
- Gašparović H, Gabelica R, Ostojić Z. Kopjar T, Petricevic M, Ivancan V, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of central venous saturation in estimating mixed venous saturation is proportional to cardiac performance among cardiac surgical patients. J Crit Care 2014; 29(5): 828–34.
- Faber T. Central venous versus mixed venous oxygen content. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand Suppl 1995; 107: 33–6.
- Tahvanainen J, Meretoja O, Nikki P. Can central venous blood replace mixed venous blood samples? Crit Care Med 1982; 10(11): 758–61.
- 18. Pearse R, Dawson D, Fawcett J, Rhodes A, Grounds M, Bennett D. Changes in central venous saturation after major surgery, and association with outcome. Crit Care 2005; 9(6): R694–9.
- Hu BY, Laine GA, Wang S, Solis RT. Combined central venous oxygen saturation and lactate as markers of occult hypoperfusion and outcome following cardiac surgery. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2012; 26(1): 52–7.
- Reinbart K, Rudolph T, Bredle DL, Hannemann L, Cain SM. Comparison of central-venous to mixed-venous oxygen saturation during changes in oxygen supply/demand. Chest 1989; 95(6): 1216–21.
- Martin C, Auffray JP, Badetti C, Perrin G, Papazian L, Gouin F. Monitoring of central venous oxygen saturation versus mixed venous oxygen saturation in critically ill patients. Intensive Care Med 1992; 18(2): 101–4.
- Goto SH, Mazza BF, Freitas FGR, Machado FR. Influence of perfusion status on central and mixed venous oxygen saturation in septic patients. Rev Bras Anestesiol 2017; 67(6): 607– 14. (Portuguese)
- Reinhart K, Kuhn HJ, Hartog C, Bredle DL. Continuous central venous and pulmonary artery oxygen saturation monitoring in the critically ill. Intensive Care Med 2004; 30(8): 1572–8.

- Goldman RH, Klughaupt M, Metcalf T, Spivack AP, Harrison DC. Measurement of central venous oxygen saturation in patients with myocardial infarction. Circulation 1968; 38(5): 941–6.
- 25. Meregalli A, Oliveira RP, Friedman G. Occult hypoperfusion is associated with increased mortality in hemodynamically stable, high-risk, surgical patients. Crit Care 2004; 8(2): R60–5.
- Bendjelid K. Cardiac output-ScvO2 relationship during sepsis: A subtle association. J Crit Care 2017; 38: 351–2.
- Madsen P, Iversen H, Secher NH. Central venous oxygen saturation during hypovolemic shock in humans. Scand J Cin Lab Invest 1993; 53(1): 67–72.
- Scalea TM, Holman M, Fuortes M, Baron BJ, Philips TF, Goldstein AS, et al. Central venous blood oxygen saturation: an early, accurate measurement of volume during hemorrhage. J Trauma 1988; 28(6): 725–32.
- 29. van Beest PA, van Ingen J, Boerma EC, Holman ND, Groen H, Koopmans M, et al. No agreement of mixed venous and central venous saturation in sepsis, independent of sepsis origin. Crit Care 2010; 14(6): R219.
- Pope JV, Jones AE, Gaieski DF, Arnold RC, Trzeciak S, Shapiro NI. Multicenter study of central venous oxygen saturation ScvO2 as a predictor of mortality in patients with sepsis. Ann Emerg Med 2010; 55(1): 40–6.e1.
- 31. Lee J, Wright F, Barber R, Stanley L. Central venous oxygen saturation in shock: a study in man. Anesthesiology 1972; 36(5): 472–8.
- 32. *Rivers E.* Mixed versus central venous oxygen saturation may be not numerically equal, but both are still clinically useful. Chest 2006; 129(3): 507–8.
- Hutter AM, Jr, Moss AJ. Central venous oxygen saturation. Value of serial determinations in patients with acute myocardial infarction. JAMA 1970; 212(2): 299–303.
- Muir AL, Kirby BJ, King AJ, Miller HC. Mixed venous oxygen saturation in relation to cardiac output in myocardial infarction. Br Med J 1970; 4(5730): 276–8.
- Creamer JE, Edwards JD, Nightingale P. Hemodynamic and oxygen transport variables in cardiogenic shock secondary to acute myocardial infarction, and response to treatment. Am J Cardiol 1990; 65(20): 1297–300.
- Powelson J.A, Maini BS, Bishop RL, Sottile FD. Continuous monitoring of mixed venous oxygen saturation during aortic operations. Crit Care Med 1992; 20(3): 332–6.
- Kopterides P, Bonovas S, Mavrou I, Kostadima E, Zakynthinos E, Armaganidis A.Venous oxygen saturation and lactate gradient from superior vena cava to pulmonary artery in patients with septic shock. Shock 2009; 31(6): 561–7.

Received on June 21, 2018. Revised on July 25, 2018. Accepted on August 10, 2018. Online First September, 2018.